

PRICE’S THEOREM & POPULATION GENETICS

DERIVATION OF THE PRICE EQUATION

- Price’s Theorem is a universal law of evolution
 - describes how the mean of any trait changes from ancestor to descendent
 - * ancestor & descendent can be broadly defined
- PT has been used primarily to address kin and group selection, but in recent times is being applied more widely, including in community ecology

- Consider N individuals with trait values (phenotypes) z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N .

– Have $\bar{z} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N z_i$

- Individual i has W_i offspring. It’s j th offspring has phenotype $z_i + \delta_{ij}$
 - i.e., δ_{ij} is the difference between the phenotypes the j th offspring and its parent

– average difference between individual i and all its offspring is $\bar{\delta}_i = \frac{1}{W_i} \sum_{j=1}^{W_i} \delta_{ij}$

- The average phenotype of all the offspring of all individuals is

$$\bar{z}' = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^N W_i} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^{W_i} (z_i + \delta_{ij}) = \frac{1}{N\bar{W}} \sum_{i=1}^N W_i (z_i + \bar{\delta}_i) \quad \text{where } \bar{W} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N W_i$$

– Does *not* require $\bar{W} = 1$, so population size can change.

- $\Delta\bar{z} = \bar{z}' - \bar{z} = \frac{1}{\bar{W}} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N W_i z_i + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N W_i \bar{\delta}_i \right) - \bar{z} = \frac{1}{\bar{W}} [E(Wz) + E(W\bar{\delta}) - \bar{z}\bar{W}]$

- This shows $\Delta\bar{z} = \frac{1}{\bar{W}} [\text{cov}(W, z) + E(W\bar{\delta})]$, which is known as the Price’s Theorem

- named after it’s discoverer George R. Price
- covariance term describes selection
- 2nd term summarizes ancestor-descendent differences (for any reasons)

PRICE’S THEOREM APPLIED TO POPULATION GENETICS: MUTATION-SELECTION BALANCE

- Haploid locus with alleles A and a (freqs. p and q) with one-way mutation from A to a at rate u ; fitnesses $w_A = 1$ and $w_a = 1-s$

- Let z = fraction of A alleles (“Indicator Variable”)
 - if genotype A, then $z = 1$ and $z = 0$ if genotype a
- $\bar{z} = p \cdot 1 + q \cdot 0 = p$ so $\Delta\bar{z} = \Delta p$ in this case
- Computing components of the Price Equation:

$$\bar{W} = p \cdot 1 + q \cdot (1 - s) = 1 - qs$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(W, z) &= E(Wz) - \bar{W}\bar{z} \\ &= [p \cdot 1 \cdot 1 + q \cdot (1 - s) \cdot 0] - \bar{W}p = p - p\bar{W} = p(1 - \bar{W}) = p(1 - 1 + qs) \\ &= pqs \end{aligned}$$

$$E(W\bar{\delta}):$$

- Let \bar{z}_g^o be the average z among offspring of individual w/ genotype g ; then $\bar{\delta}_g = \bar{z}_g^o - \bar{z}_g$
- $\bar{z}_A^o = (1 - u) \cdot 1 + u \cdot 0 = (1 - u) \Rightarrow \bar{\delta}_A = \bar{z}_A^o - \bar{z}_A = (1 - u) - 1 = -u$
- $\bar{z}_a^o = 0 \Rightarrow \bar{\delta}_a = \bar{z}_a^o - \bar{z}_a = 0 - 0 = 0$
- $E(W\bar{\delta}) = p \cdot 1 \cdot \bar{\delta}_A + q \cdot (1 - s) \bar{\delta}_a = -pu$

- Putting everything together:

$$\Delta\bar{z} = \Delta p = \frac{1}{1 - qs} (pqs - pu) = \frac{p}{1 - qs} (qs - u)$$

- Mutation-selection balance when $\Delta p = 0$
 - From Price’s Theorem, can see immediately that this holds when $q = \hat{q} = \frac{u}{s}$

THE BREEDER’S EQUATION (based on Rice)

- Price’s Theorem can be re-written to emphasize the relation between parents and offspring as follows:

– define $\bar{z}_i^o = z_i + \bar{\delta}_i$ (the mean phenotype of i 's offspring)

– then $\Delta\bar{z} = \frac{1}{\bar{W}} [\text{cov}(W, \bar{z}^o - \bar{\delta}) + E(W\bar{\delta})] = \frac{1}{\bar{W}} [\text{cov}(W, \bar{z}^o) - \text{cov}(W, \bar{\delta}) + E(W\bar{\delta})]$

– So, $\boxed{\Delta\bar{z} = \frac{1}{\bar{W}} \text{cov}(W, \bar{z}^o) + \bar{\delta}}$, (*)

where $\bar{\delta} = E(\bar{\delta}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{\delta}_i$ is the “transmission bias”, i.e., the change that would occur at the population level by reproduction alone.

- Assume $\bar{\delta} = 0$ and that the mean offspring phenotype is a linear function of the parental phenotype: $\bar{z}'_i = \bar{z} + b_{\bar{z}'_i, \bar{z}}(z_i - \bar{z})$

– then $\Delta \bar{z} = b_{\bar{z}'_i, \bar{z}} \frac{1}{\bar{W}} \text{cov}(W, z)$

– The selection differential S is defined as $S = \frac{1}{\bar{W}} \text{cov}(W, z)$, thus we have the breeder’s equation $\Delta \bar{z} = b_{\bar{z}'_i, \bar{z}} S$

– As Rice points out, this result applies to both asexual and sexual organisms.

– In the case of sexual organisms, z_i , should be interpreted as the mid-parent phenotype and the regression coefficient is equivalent to the heritability h^2 .

- Since $b_{\bar{z}'_i, \bar{z}} = \text{cov}(\bar{z}', z) / \text{var}(z)$ and the selection gradient is, by definition, $\beta = S / \text{var}(z)$, then we also have **Lande’s Equation** $\Delta \bar{z} = \text{cov}(\bar{z}', z) \beta$

– β defines **directional selection** on the trait.

– This version of Lande’s equation applies to both asexual and sexual organisms!

– In sexual organisms, $\text{cov}(\bar{z}', z) = V_A$, the additive-genetic covariance. However, as Rice points out, there are situations in which $\text{cov}(\bar{z}', z) \neq V_A$ and in those cases, the parent-offspring covariance determines the evolutionary response to selection.

FISHER’S FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF NATURAL SELECTION

- Since individual fitness, W , is a quantifiable phenotype, Price’s Theorem applies to the change in the mean fitness of a population.

- Applying version (*) of Price’s Theorem: $\Delta \bar{W} = \frac{\text{cov}(W, \bar{W}^o)}{\bar{W}} + \bar{\delta}$

- Since $\text{cov}(W, \bar{W}^o) = V_A$, the additive-genetic variance for fitness, this immediately gives a

version of Fisher’s Fundamental Theorem of Natural Selection: $\Delta \bar{W} = \frac{V_A}{\bar{W}} + \bar{\delta}$.

- If there is no transmission bias ($\bar{\delta} = 0$), then $\Delta\bar{W} = V_A / \bar{W}$, like the equation we saw earlier.
- The version of FFTNS with transmission bias ($\bar{\delta} \neq 0$) emphasizes the role of non-heritable causes of fitness changes (e.g., density or frequency dependence, environmental variation, phenotypic plasticity, learning, etc.)

EVOLUTION OF THE VARIANCE (& OTHER HIGHER MOMENTS)

- Suppose $\phi_i = (z_i - \bar{z})^k$. Then $\Delta\bar{\phi} = \frac{1}{\bar{W}} [\text{cov}(W, \phi) + E(W\bar{\delta}_\phi)]$ where

$$\bar{\delta}_\phi = \frac{1}{\bar{W}} \sum_{j=1}^{W_i} [\phi_{ij}^o - \phi_i] = \frac{1}{\bar{W}} \sum_{j=1}^{W_i} [(z_{ij}^o - \bar{z})^k - (z_i - \bar{z})^k]$$

- If $k = 2$, $\bar{\phi} = E(z - \bar{z})^2 = \text{var}(z)$ which gives $\Delta \text{var}(z) = \frac{1}{\bar{W}} \left\{ \text{cov} \left[W, (z - \bar{z})^2 \right] + E \left[W \bar{\delta}_{(z - \bar{z})^2} \right] \right\}$.

- Similar equations can be developed for the skew, kurtosis, and so forth.

- Consider the regression of fitness on $(z - \bar{z})^2$: $\beta_{W, (z - \bar{z})^2} \propto \text{cov} \left(W, (z - \bar{z})^2 \right)$

- If $\beta_{W, (z - \bar{z})^2} < 0$, selection is **stabilizing** since a phenotype’s fitness decreases with its distance from the mean.
- If $\beta_{W, (z - \bar{z})^2} > 0$, selection is **disruptive** since a phenotype’s fitness increases with its distance from the mean.